WHERE WE HAVE BEEN

(1) Identified two Finance Caucus co-chairs
(2) Briefed Public AC on proposed approach and draft FAQ’s
(3) Met with Finance Caucus Co-Chairs
(4) Securing support to coordinate with the Delta Plan, Central Valley Flood Plan and Statewide Flood Planning
(5) Met with State Agency Steering Committee
(6) Created new approach based on input from Finance Caucus, SASC and Public AC input
WHAT WE HEARD - OVERARCHING ISSUES

(1) Avoid presupposing any occurrence, scope or magnitude of future state IWM roles, programs, activities or funding

(2) Establish key definitions early in the process

(3) Build a framework that incorporates the many actions or policies typically associated with IWM

(4) For Update 2013, focus on the most critical IWM activities/needs

(5) Build a framework that incorporates IWM perspectives of multiple jurisdictional scales (e.g. federal, state and local)

(6) Clarify the role of State Government and focus recommendations on actions to be administered by the State
Purpose of Storyboard:
Enable stakeholders and planning partners to:

1. Initiate discussions and develop a common language and vision surrounding state IWM activities and funding
2. Collaboratively develop a framework for planning future State Government IWM funding
Process for answering key state IWM finance policy questions \((\text{presented in chronological order})\):

- What are the most critical and/or high priority IWM actions?
- What actions/services is the State better positioned \((\text{than federal, regional or local entities})\) to implement?
- How much will those actions/services cost?
- How should they be funded?
STEP 1 – SCOPE AND OUTCOMES

What is the scope of the finance plan in terms of targeted resource management objectives and outcomes?
STEP 2 – PRIORITIES AND ACTIVITIES

What are the mandated, most critical and/or high priority objectives and accompanying activities?
STEP 3 – EXISTING FUNDING

What is the level and source of funding for the activities or policies identified in Step 2?
STEP 4 – FUNDING SUSTAINABILITY

What activities identified in Step 2 are currently unfunded, have no foreseeable funding alternatives, or are currently funded, but in an unsustainable manner?
STEP 5 – STATE ROLE

Which activities is State government best positioned to implement?
STEP 6 – FUTURE COSTS

How much will the State government’s future role cost?
STEP 7- FUNDING WHOs AND HOWs

How will costs be allocated (and through what mechanisms) the activities identified in Step 5?
STEP 8 – CONSEQUENCES AND CONTINGENCIES

What are the consequences and contingencies under severe and sustained funding constraints?
Questions of clarification?