Process for Recommending State Investment in Integrated Water Management (IWM) Activities

Figure 1

- Identify State Government IWM Activities
  
  See Figure 2

- Identify Regional IWM Activities
  
  See Figure 3

- Update 2013 Investment Recommendations
  
  For State-administered activities and State investment in local assistance
  
  See Figure 4
(1) Stakeholders can develop multiple, alternative sets of objectives that reflect the diversity of priorities and preferences across interests.

(2) Scenario Objectives for State Government activities will be developed through the Finance Caucus and other collaborative venues and may include Update 2009 Strategic Vision/ Objectives, other State resource management objectives, public and/or non-public benefits from regional activities, etc.
Figure 3 - Regions’ Integrated Regional Water Management Activities
Flowchart for Component 2 of the Finance Plan Storyboard

(1) Recommendations for the State Government’s future funding of IRWM activities (Partially achieves Storyboard Component 5) will result, in part, from observed patterns of activities/places among multiple activity package permutations.

(2) This achieves a portion of Storyboard Component 6 – How much will the State Governments future role cost?
Figure 4 - Update 2013 Investment Recommendations Process
(State-Administered Activities; including Local Assistance)
Flowchart for Component 2 of the Finance Storyboard

Component 5 of Finance Storyboard
Which activities is State Government best able to implement?

UPDATE 2013 INVESTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

- Final State Activity Investment packages
- Estimated Costs\(^1\)
- Benefit Types and Magnitudes
- Articulation of Assumptions, Estimates, Objectives, Uncertainties and Risk

Note: This information, when combined with current and future funding levels and sustainability (Components 3 and 4 of the finance storyboard) will inform recommendations regarding mechanisms and the distribution of costs among stakeholders (Component 7 of the finance storyboard).

(1) This achieves a portion of Component 6 of the finance storyboard, which asks: How much will the State government’s future role cost?
Figure 5 - Sample Output for Alternative State Investment Packages for Comparative Purposes

Note: This diagram is for comparative purposes only. Recommendations will be derived from observing patterns (activities/areas) and presented on a separate graphic.
Figure 6 - Sample Output for State Government Investment Recommendations

State Government Investment Recommendations Through 2020

- **Data/Tools**
- **R&D**
- **Governance**

- **Regional Local Assistance**
- **Inter-Regional**
- **Groundwater Basin**
- **Statewide**
- **Interstate**

- **State-administered activities**
- **Local assistance**

2010 Funding Levels (in bold) 2009 Funding Levels

**Lower**  **Societal Ability and Willingness to Pay Continuum**  **Higher**

*Note: This diagram is for comparative purposes only. Recommendations will be derived from observing patterns (activities/areas) and presented on a separate graphic.*