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Relationship with other Regions

- Los Angeles Aqueduct
- State Water Project

Hydrologic Regions:
- NC: North Coast
- SF: San Francisco
- CC: Central Coast
- SC: South Coast
- SR: Sacramento River
- SJ: San Joaquin River
- TL: Tulare Lake
- NL: North Lahontan
- SL: South Lahontan
- CR: Colorado River
South Lahontan Region Setting
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

- Hydrologic Boundary
  - Drainage divide to the north of Mono Lake (includes Bodie Hills and Cowtrack Mountain)
  - Crests of the Sierra Nevada, San Gabriel, and San Bernardino Mountains
  - California-Nevada Border

- Counties
  - All of Inyo, portions of Mono, San Bernardino, Kern, and Los Angeles
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

➢ Total Area
  - 26,732 square miles (About 17 percent of the State)

➢ Planning Areas and Detailed Analysis Units
  - Five Planning Areas – Owens-Mono, Death Valley, Indian Wells, Antelope Valley, and Mojave River
  - Detailed Analysis Units - 45
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

- **Major Features**
  - Three mountain ranges – Sierra Nevada, San Bernardino and San Gabriel
  - Owens River
  - Mojave Desert and River
  - Death Valley

- **Lakes and Reservoirs**
  - Mono Lake, Grant Lake, Lake Crowley, Lake Arrowhead, Silverwood Lake, and Mojave Forks Dam

- **Major Rivers**
  - Owens River and Mojave River
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

- Climate
  - Hot Desert to Semiarid to High Sierra
    - Hot summers and mild winters in the valleys
      - Maximum of 10 inches of rainfall annually,
      - Eastern Mojave Desert averages about 4 inches and Death Valley about 2 inches
    - Mild summers and cold winters in the mountains
      - Between 10 and 40 inches of rainfall annually with snow
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

- Major Watersheds & Ecosystems
  - Mono Basin
  - Owens River
  - Mojave River
  - Antelope Valley
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region

Setting

➢ Population
  - 721,700 for 2000
  - 810,000 for 2005; increase of 12 percent

➢ Major Cities
  - North – Mammoth Lakes and Bishop
  - South – Lancaster, Palmdale, Victorville, and Barstow
  - Major urban land use is concentrated in the Antelope and Victor valleys. Smaller cities and communities in the north.
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Setting

- Agricultural Land Use
  - Total Irrigated Crop Acres in 2005 – 65,800
  - Slight increase since 1990; about 8 percent
  - Major areas are the Owens Valley, Mojave River, and Antelope Valley
  - Alfalfa and pasture are the major crops; deciduous fruit and vegetables in the Antelope Valley
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Setting

Most of the Hydrologic Region is publicly managed

- Parks, reserves, and recreation areas
  - Death Valley National Park,
  - Mojave Natural Preserve
  - Angeles, Inyo and San Bernardino National Forests

- Military Bases
  - Edwards Air force Base
  - China Lake Naval Base
South Lahontan Regional Water Planning & Management

- Presently represented by 3 planning regions
  - AVEK
  - MWA
  - Mono-Inyo underway

- Inter-agency cooperation:
  - GW modelling – (Indian Wells Valley WD, Navy, Searles Valley Minerals)
  - Water Banking – Rosamond–Semitropic Water Bank
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region Water Supply

- **Surface water**
  - Primary source in north region

- **Groundwater**
  - Primary source in south region
  - Gradually becoming more prevalent in north region

- **State Water Project**
  - Antelope Valley East Kern Water Agency
  - Palmdale Water District
  - Mojave Water Agency

- **Recycled Water**
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Groundwater Adjudication

- Warren Valley Judgment (1977)
- Antelope Valley (pending)
### South Lahontan Hydrologic Region Portfolio Data – Water Supply

![Bar Chart](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dedicated Environment</th>
<th>Reuse and Recycled</th>
<th>Groundwater</th>
<th>State Water Project</th>
<th>Local Water Projects</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002*</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003*</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Year Classification</th>
<th>Wet</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Dry</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Preliminary, subject to revision
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Regional Water Conditions

- Flow Diagrams
- Water Balances
- Supplemental Data Tables
- Narratives
- Water Quality
South Lahontan Region Water Conditions:
Portfolio Data – Water Use

*Preliminary, subject to revision*
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Water use

- **Urban**
  - Moderate to high per capita water use in the Antelope and Victor valleys. High exterior demands for landscaping due to high temperatures
  - Moderate to high per capita water use in the Owens-Mono Planning Area due, in part, to recreation and tourism

- **Agriculture**
  - Crop water demands reflective of climate
  - Alfalfa is the highest water using crop
  - Farmers are attempting to use water efficiency
    - Saving water and reducing power costs
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Water Use & Population Comparison

Urban and Ag Use
Population

2005
Agriculture Applied
Water 323 TAF
M&I 234 TAF
Flood Management is Incorporated in the CWP Update 2009

INDEPENDENCE FLOOD ON OAK CREEK

Historic Floods

EASTERN SIERRA THUNDERSTORM

Flood Hazards

INYO COUNTY COURTHOUSE

Governance

MOJAVE RIVER DAM

Risk Management
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Flood Management

Setting

- Flooding from winter storms and summer thunderstorms have the potential to cause property damage and impact public safety
- Many streams have steep channel slopes and little vegetation
- Sediment loads are often dominated by coarse-grain material
- A combination of these factors can result in flash flooding and dangerous debris flows


- 1938 – 100 Year Flood in Deep Creek near Hesperia, West Fork of the Mojave River, Big Rock Canyon near Valyermo, and Little Rock Creek near Littlerock
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Flood Management

- 2008 – Strong thunderstorm on the eastern slopes of Sierra Nevada caused debris flows which damaged public and private property near Independence including the structures in the Mt. Whitney Fish Hatchery and property on Tribal Reservation

Flood Governance

- Major agencies involved in the planning and response to flood disasters include the Federal Emergency Management Agency, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Water Resources, Office of Emergency Services, and select County Departments
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Flood Management

Flood Risk Management

- **Structural Approaches**—Construction of Oro Grande Wash channel Project (1969) and Mojave River Dam (1971)

- **Land Use Management**—Los Angeles County Dept. of Public Works Comprehensive Plan for the Antelope Valley

- **Disaster Preparedness, Response, and Recovery**—
  - State, federal, and local agencies are responsible for the preparation, response, and recovery from natural disasters.
  - Local agencies are the first responders to flood events. When their resources are exhausted, the State (DWR, OES) can provide assistance, followed by federal agencies (USACE, FEMA)
  - Collaboration among federal, state, and communities through the National Flood Insurance Program
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Flood-Related Challenges

➢ Facilities and maintenance
  • Sand deposits in Mojave River through Victorville
  • Increased sediment loads caused by Victor Valley urbanization
  • Lack of funds for Antelope Valley Flood Control Plan

➢ Coordination among agencies
  • Need for area-wide flood management in Antelope Valley
  • Conflicting demands for flood control and groundwater management
  • Need for sediment in dry lakes on Edwards AFB

➢ Environmental considerations
  • Loss of floodplain on the Mojave River
  • Mitigation of flood-related impacts due to climate change
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Key Issues, Challenges

- **Water Quality**
  - Elevated nitrates and TDS levels from agricultural fields

- **Water Supply**
  - Reliability of SWP supplies
  - Pending adjudication of Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin
  - Potential impacts of climate change
  - Continued urbanization may create deficit
  - Lowering of groundwater table leading to subsidence and loss of riparian habitat in some areas

- **Drought Contingency Plans**

- **Quagga Mussels**

Agenda Item 10
Part 1
Scenarios
Agenda Item 10
Part 2
Resource Strategies
27 Resource Management Strategies
A Range of Choices

**Reduce Water Demand**
- Agricultural Water Use Efficiency
- Urban Water Use Efficiency

**Improve Operational Efficiency & Transfers**
- Conveyance – Delta
- Conveyance – Regional/Local
- System Reoperation
- Water Transfers

**Increase Water Supply**
- Conjunctive Management & Groundwater Storage
- Desalination – Brackish & Seawater
- Precipitation Enhancement
- Recycled Municipal Water
- Surface Storage – CALFED
- Surface Storage - Regional/Local

**Improve Water Quality**
- Drinking Water Treatment and Distribution
- Groundwater/Aquifer Remediation
- Matching Quality to Use
- Pollution Prevention
- Salt & Salinity Management
- Urban Runoff Management

**Practice Resource Stewardship**
- Agricultural Lands Stewardship
- Economic Incentives (Loans, Grants, and Water Pricing)
- Ecosystem Restoration
- Forest Management
- Land Use Planning & Management
- Recharge Areas Protection
- Water-Dependent Recreation
- Watershed Management

**Improve Flood Management**
- Flood Risk Management
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Water Management Responses

- A mix of 25 Strategies being implemented in this region
  (Table 10.4, Pg10-19 of Regional Report)

- Some examples of strategies being used today
  - Solutions to the overuse of the Mojave River Groundwater Basin
  - Changes to Water Diversions from the Owens River/Mono Basin
  - Owens River Gorge Project
  - Morongo Basin Project
  - Lower Owens River River Project
South Lahontan Hydrologic Region
Water Management Responses

- Some examples of strategies being used today, cont.
  - Mojave Water Agency Groundwater Banking and Exchange Agreement with Solano County Water Agency
  - Regional Water Conservation Incentive program
  - Hi-Desert Water District Groundwater Recharge and Reuse Project
  - IRWM and Urban Water Management Plans
  - Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project
  - Groundwater Banking Project
Agencies adopting proactive approach to water reliability problems

- Water conservation programs
- Water recycling projects
- Water exchanges and recovery
- Water marketing

Agriculture practices and water uses

- Anticipated to remain at current levels for the near future

Major Projects underway

- Mojave River Well Field and Water Supply Pipeline Project
- MWA – Alliance for Urban Water Conservation and Awareness Urban Water Conservation Plan
- SWP Water Exchange Program
- Oro Grande Wash Recharge
- Upgrades of Lancaster and Palmdale Water Reclamation Plants
Regional Report Contact Information

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
SOUTHERN REGION

Mark Stuart
marks@water.ca.gov

Vern Knoop
vernk@water.ca.gov

Dave Inouye
davidi@water.ca.gov