From: Chan,Grace L
Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Guivetchi, Kamyar; Dabbs, Paul
Cc: Beutler, Lisa
Subject: Comments to Mar 2005 AC draft
Importance: High

Due to the hugh volume appearing in the midst of our budget preparation, I can only browse through certain sections.
In general, the Plan reads well, organized logically, and has the right message. Good job, everyone!
I only have a few minor updates and suggestions at this time.

Volume 2

Ch. 19 Should try to add the Environmental Water Account system reoperation as an excellent recent example of using system reoperation flexibility to gain environmental benefits, rather than relying only on rigid regulatory regime.

Ch 20
P 20-1, 2nd paragraph, "…the result of current development practices is the consumption of ~~more~~ farm land, open space, habitat, and other natural resources ~~than necessary~~." (Can still make the point without being judgmental.)

Volume 3, Chapter 5, South Coast Hydrologic Region

P 5-1 ~~The South Coast Hydrologic Regional profile may surprise some readers of past State water plans~~. Water… (Sentence doesn't add anything even though I like the compliment.)

P 5-9, 3rd paragraph, "Upon its completion ~~in 2004~~, the Inland Feeder…" (Obviously, there is another delay.)

P 5-13, MWD has adopted its Integrated Resource Plan Update in July 2004. Please update all references to draft 2003 update.

P 5-14, 2nd paragraph, "…its development ~~is restrained by high cost~~ <u>challenges include high energy requirement</u>, environmental impacts of brine disposal…"